

The Nomination of Hancock—How it is Received.

The nomination of General HANCOCK has been received most cordially all over the Union, and telegrams have poured in upon him not only from Democratic leaders North, South, East, and West, but from those who were his chief competitors at Cincinnati. For example, Mr. PATNE, of Ohio, who was Mr. TILDEN's second choice, sent him a dispatch saying: "I beg leave to tender you my sincere congratulations. Governor RANDOLPH telegraphed: 'New Jersey's sons will stand by you as their chief did by Revolutionary patriots.' Mr. BAYARD, as noble a Roman as any of them, said: 'You nomination is honorable alike to you and to the great Democratic party. No one congratulates you more sincerely and no one will strive to elect you more heartily than I.' General DENNIS S. WALKER, who is secretary of the National Democratic Congressional Committee, and a worthy son of HANCOCK, said: 'The enthusiasm over your nomination is intense.' Senator WALLACE, of Pennsylvania, said: 'BELL tells me that MURAT HALSTEAD says that General HANCOCK's nomination by Confederate brigadiers set the old rebel yell to the music of the Union.' Not only did the Democrats named congratulate our candidate, but Republicans joined in and wished him success in November. The Fifth corps telegraphed as follows: 'The veterans of Onondaga congratulate you. The Pioneer Hancock Club has just been organized, with General JAMES J. GIBBLEY, of the Fifth corps, as president. General GIBBLEY is a prominent Republican, and was chairman of the convention that elected Senator CONKLING a delegate in February last. Gettysburg and victory!' JOHN W. FORNEY, whose reputation as a Republican is national, telegraphed: 'I congratulate you for your nomination for President, and predict your election and complete restoration of peace to all sections.'

The New York World says General HANCOCK now comes before the country as a candidate eminently fit to be trusted with the administration of a government of laws. The war, which "shone in the sudden making of splendid names" has gone five years into "the dark backward and abysm" of the past. Many of the names which it made brilliant have faded into dimness as the events with which they were connected have grown more remote. It was when opportunity was offered to the victorious generals during the period of reconstruction to erect their provisional proconsuls into military dictators that General HANCOCK won for himself a distinction illustrious at once and peculiar. He was one of those soldiers who remembered that he had been a citizen before he was a soldier, and who refused to sink his citizenship in his soldiery. To bear this distinction always in mind and always to act upon it is an acquirement hard to man who has been reared and trained to soldiery, and who has passed all his adult years in the military service.

OUR VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE.—After the nomination of TILDEN and HENDRICKS in 1876 our gallant vice-presidential candidate said: "I do not wish it to be understood that I am here to-night in a mere partisan capacity, claiming that everything called Democratic must necessarily be good, and everything called Republican necessarily bad. On the contrary, I congratulate the Republican party upon having nominated good men at Cincinnati and placing them upon a creditable platform, but I congratulate the Democratic party still more upon having nominated better men upon a better platform."

WHAT THE REPUBLICANS OF RICHMOND THINK.—The Republicans of this city are very much disappointed. They had hoped that we would nominate TILDEN and that GRANT would win at Chicago. Both, however, are on the retired list, and the Democrats, instead of being on the defensive, present to the country the foremost Union major-general of the war. As against GRANT, HANCOCK's military record would not be strong, but as against that of GARFIELD it is a mountain against a mole-hill.

ALBEC MCLURE writes in the Philadelphia Times: "The really doubtful States of the contest will be Indiana, Illinois, Nevada, California, and Oregon, with not more than one chance in a dozen for the Republicans in Indiana, and with about like chances for the Democrats in Illinois and the Pacific States. Looking dispassionately over the field at this early stage of the contest, the indications point strongly to a Democratic President, a Democratic Senate, and a Democratic House on the 4th of March, 1881."

WHAT HANCOCK SAYS.—After his nomination, General HANCOCK, when asked as to his policy, said: "Democratic, of course. Whether in or out of the high office of President, I believe that honest self-government is the highest gift a people can have, and that is in a nutshell what I believe to be the spirit of Democracy and the Cincinnati Convention."

Said Major DANIEL in his speech at Cincinnati: "Nominate HANCOCK, and in the canvass you will hear the hearty hurrah of the boys who wore the blue mingling with the wild, sweet music of the rebel cheer in one grand national anthem." Very true; so they do.

The newspapers state that "on the 13th of July the new National Democratic Committee will meet in New York. It is likely the campaign will be managed by Mr. SCOTT of Erie, Pa., a native of Fauquier county, Va. Mr. SCOTT is a man of brains and money."

MURAT HALSTEAD says "HANCOCK's nomination by Confederate brigadiers sets the old rebel yell to the music of the Union." WALLACE, of Pennsylvania, adds: "It will be solemn music for the Republicans to face." So it will.

JEREMIAH B. BENJAMIN could not procure the discharge of OTTON the THORNTON claimant. The judges decided that two sentences for separate perjuries were right, proper, and legal enough.

Our candidate for Vice-President "was married in 1847 to Miss EMMA M. JACKSON, of Virginia." That settles it. The old Commonwealth must do its duty.

The British House of Lords by a vote of 161 to 90 rejected the bill legalizing marriage with a deceased wife's sister.

John W. Forney congratulates HANCOCK and predicts his election.

Ex-Governor KEMPER was prompt in telegraphing his congratulations to General HANCOCK. He said Virginia will "do her whole duty," and she will.

The speeches of Messrs. DOUGHERTY, STRINGFELLOW, and DANIEL, which we publish this morning, are interesting reading.

Now for the congressional primary election and the best man to bear aloft the banner of HANCOCK and ENGLISH.

Our nominee for the vice-presidency is a rich man, who made his own fortune by industry and enterprise.

Wednesday night TILDEN said that HANCOCK would be the man. SAMMY's head is level.

THURLOW WERN declares "the Democrats have stopped declining."

St. Nicholas for July is a charming No., suited to the season.

Cupid in Politics.

WHAT A LADY SAID AT THE CHICAGO CONVENTION—COUNTRIES THAT MAY HAVE AIDED IN GARFIELD'S NOMINATION—MR. CONKLING'S REMARKS.

(Chicago Correspondence of the New York Sun.)

Love for Semiramis lost. Ninus his head changed the destinies of Assyria; an elopement caused the downfall of Troy; the beautiful eyes of Brieis cost Greece thousands of ships and heaps of treasure; Cleopatra's surpassing loveliness sent Antony to his death and wrapped Octavius in imperial purple; Judith's marvelous beauty beheaded Holofernes and gave a new king to Cappadocia; the glowing eyes of Clydaus spurred Gyges to the throne of Lydia; King Henry's passion for Anne Boleyn broke the power of the Catholic Church in England; Mrs. Eaton's charms upset General Jackson's Cabinet; and the coquetry of a sapphire-eyed beauty at the Chicago Convention possibly saved the American republic.

She occupied a front seat in the gallery to the right of the platform. She was plump, exceedingly pretty, and dressed in the most exquisite taste. Her eyes were of cerulean blue, her hair the color of the sun, and her complexion a delicate rose. She was not more than thirty years of age, and her countenance was a perfect picture of youth and beauty. She had a fine, intelligent expression, and her manners were perfect. She was a native of the State of New York, and had been married for several years. Her husband was a prominent member of the Republican party, and had been elected to the Senate of the United States. She was a devoted wife and mother, and was highly respected by all who knew her. Her presence at the convention was a great attraction, and she was the center of much speculation and gossip. Her nomination for the vice-presidency was a surprise to many, but it was well received by the Democrats. Her nomination was a great honor to her, and it was a great credit to the Democratic party. Her nomination was a great success for the Democrats, and it was a great triumph for the American republic.

CONVENTION ORATORY.

The Speeches of Messrs. DOUGHERTY, DANIEL, and STRINGFELLOW, Made at Cincinnati.

HANCOCK "THE SUPERB," AS PICTURED BY DAN, DOUGHERTY, OF PENNSYLVANIA—A SOLDIER STATESMAN—MAJOR STRINGFELLOW'S SPEECH FOR FIELD—JOHN W. DANIEL'S ELOQUENT APPEAL ON BEHALF OF GENERAL HANCOCK—HIS NOMINATION MEANS INSTANTANEOUS AND CONTINUOUS AGGRESSION—THE HEARTY BURRAH OF THE BOYS WHO WORE THE BLUE MINGLING WITH THE WILD, SWEET MUSIC OF THE REBEL CHEER IN ONE GRAND NATIONAL ANTHEM.

The speeches below, of Messrs. Dougherty, of Pennsylvania, and Stringfellow and Daniel, of Virginia, are taken from the verbatim report of the proceedings of the Cincinnati Commercial and other papers:

When Pennsylvania was reached, Mr. Hayes, of that State, said:

The delegates from Pennsylvania came here entirely free to express their individual preferences. The delegation from Pennsylvania, as such, has no candidate here to make a nomination.

Mr. Dan, Dougherty, of Pennsylvania, then proceeded to the platform amid great applause, and spoke as follows:

I propose to you, gentlemen, a thoughtful consideration of the Convention the name of one who on the field of battle was styled "the superb" [cheers], yet won the still nobler renown as a military governor; whose first act when in command of Louisiana and Texas was to salute the Constitution by proclaiming that the military rule shall ever be subservient to the civil power. [Cheers.] The plighted word of a soldier was proved by the acts of a statesman. I nominate one whose name will suppress all factions [cheers]; will be alike acceptable to the North and to the South; a name that will thrill the republic; a name, if nominated, of a man that will crush the last embers of sectional strife, and whose name will be the dawning of that day so long looked for—the day of perpetual brotherhood among the people of America. With him we can fling away our embarras and wage an aggressive war. With him we can appeal to the supreme majesty of the American people against the corruptions of the Republican party and their untold violations of constitutional duty. With him we can stand before the people as the champion of the Republic, and fall for the cause of the Republic. Oh! my countrymen, in this supreme hour, when the destinies of the republic, when the imperilled liberties of the people are in your hands, pause, reflect, take heed, make no mistake, say nomination on the South. I nominate one who will carry Pennsylvania [loud cheers], carry Indiana [cheers], carry Connecticut [cheers], carry New Jersey [cheers], carry New York [loud cheers]. I propose the name [a voice, "Carry Ohio!"] and cheer. [Cheers.] I propose the name of the soldier statesman whose record is as stainless as his sword, Winfield Scott Hancock. [Loud cheers.] One word more—if nominated, he will take his seat. [Loud cheers.]

After Mr. Dougherty left the platform he turned and came back. "Allow me to say one word more," he said to the Chair. Permission was accorded, when Mr. Dougherty corrected his speech as follows:

Gentlemen of the Convention, I pray your pardon for one word. I said that "if nominated," I should have said if elected General Hancock will take his seat. [Cheers.]

Charles S. Stringfellow.

The Chair: The Chair has the honor of presenting to the Convention Hon. Mr. Stringfellow, of Virginia. [Applause.]

Mr. Stringfellow: Mr. President and Gentlemen of the Convention, I would not venture at my own instance to trespass upon your time and attention, and I come to the discharge of the duty which has been imposed upon me, strengthened by the reflection that in this council hall of the great Democratic party I speak to men who, although for four years fought while I wore the gray, will listen to one who has with them so many elements of common sense, common blood, of men who are bound together by so many glorious recollections of the past and so many bright anticipations of the future, that I can crave your indulgence when I ask for a single moment to come in behalf of a large proportion of our delegation from Old Virginia to second the nomination of California's distinguished son, who has been in peace and in war a friend and defender of that Constitution which Old Virginia contributed so greatly to form, and which she means so honestly to defend forever. [Applause.]

Gentlemen, the Constitution, the liberty, and the laws of the people have enemies in peace as bitter, as deadly, and as dangerous as the armed battalions that marched against them when the bad passions and the interests and the lawless ambition of men made enemies of nations who had else like kindred blood in common. We are no longer adherents to the right of secession. That right is no longer debated or debatable. That question whether this Union is a compact between sovereign States, with no arbiter between them to determine when the compact is broken, or to enforce its observance, is a longer question. These issues were practically settled by the stern arbitrament of the sword; and in that decision the people of the South right royally acquiesced. When, therefore, the Republicans charge that we are still fighting for the doctrine of secession, we state rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge is true. It is true that we are for the rights of the States to preserve their own autonomy as guaranteed by the Constitution against every State rights, we state men within the Democratic party tell us that the nomination of Stephen J. Field would commit us to the doctrines of that party. I reply that to this extent the charge